

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:	Ah Hwee TAN et al.	§	Confirmation No.:	8334
		§		
I.A. Filed:	24 October 2002	§	Group Art Unit:	2121
		§		
Serial No.:	10/532,163	§	Examiner:	A. L. Kennedy
		§		
Filed:	October 4, 2005	§	Atty. Docket No.:	2085-04100
		§		
For:	Method And System For	§		
	Discovering Knowledge From	§		
	Text Documents	§		

**RENEWED PETITION TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT
UNDER 37 CFR 1.181 OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, PETITION TO
REVIVE ABANDONED APPLICATION DUE TO UNAVOIDABLE
DELAY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(A)**

Mail Stop Petitions
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Date: March 31, 2009

Sir:

In response to the Dismissal dated March 24, 2009, Applicants renew their petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment and to reinstate this application. The Dismissal denied the first petition for not including a copy of the "master docket." Applicants hereby submit a copy of the master docket and renew the petition.

The office action of March 4, 2008 was not received by Applicants and thus Applicants could not have timely responded to the office action. The delay from the time the response was due to the filing of this petition and the earlier petition was unavoidable. Applicants believe no fee is due.

There are three statements accompanying this renewed petition to establish the unavoidability of the delay. One statement is from the undersigned and the master docket is attached thereto. The other two statements were previously submitted in the first petition and copies are provided with this renewed petition for completeness. One of these latter statements is from the undersigned who is US counsel to the Singapore assignee. The other statement is from the assignee's Singapore counsel.

All three statements collectively establish that the undersigned first became aware of the existence of the office action **after** the 6 month period of time had expired.

The undersigned then promptly made the Singapore counsel for the assignee aware of the issue. The Singapore counsel then had numerous communications with the assignee. The assignee is a large entity and various departments had to be involved in formulating the response to the office action. The Singapore counsel went through numerous iterations of a proposed amendment and argument with various departments of the assignee. That process took from approximately last October until the filing of this petition and is a typical process for the assignee.

Applicants also submit a copy of the previously filed Request for Continued Examination and Preliminary Amendment.

The delay from the time the response was due until the filing of this petition was not intentional and, instead, was unavoidable. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully ask the Office to grant this Petition to Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181. There is no fee for such a petition. Applicants believe the Office has already charged Applicants for the fee for a Petition to Revive Due to Unavoidable Delay under 37 CFR 1.137(a). Applicants respectfully request a refund of this fee if the Petition to Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment is hereby granted.

If, however, the Office grants Applicants' alternative Petition to Revive Due to Unavoidable Delay under 37 CFR 1.137(a), then the required fee has already been charged to Applicants and no additional fee is believed necessary. It is also noted that the Applicants have been previously charged for the fee for a Request for Continued Examination.

If any additional fees are needed including late fees, Applicants hereby authorize the Office to charge the following Deposit Account and hereby petition for any necessary time extensions and authorize fees for such time extensions to be charged to Deposit Account No. 03-2769.

Respectfully submitted,

/Jonathan M. Harris/

Jonathan M. Harris
PTO Reg. No. 44,144
CONLEY ROSE, P.C.
(713) 238-8000 (Phone)
(713) 238-8008 (Fax)
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT